

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,239	07/16/2003	John Richard Fields	SAR 14882	1289
28166	7590 06/14/2004		EXAMINER	
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP			ARTHUR JEANGLAUDE, GERTRUDE	
/SARNOFF CORPORATION 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE SUITE 100 SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	Λ			
Ŷ		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		10/621,239	FIELDS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude	3661			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address -			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) c will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 July 2003.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6,10-12,14-16,19-22 and 24</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	☐ Claim(s) 7-9,13,17,18,23,25 and 26 is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/	(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ation No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s) 1) Mileting of References Cited (RTO 802)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Information	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
•	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 10-12, 14-16, 19-22, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishida et al. (US 2001/0040505 A1) in view of Saban et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,448,233).

As to claim 1, Ishida et al. disclose a method of detecting obstacles comprising: producing a depth map (map data) of a scene containing terrain; and processing the depth map (See abstract, Fig. 1). However, Ishida et al fail to specifically disclose that processing the depth map is to identify regions that do not exceed mobility constraint for a vehicle, and regions that do exceed the mobility constraint of the vehicle. In an analogous art, Saban et al. disclose an obstacle collision avoidance wherein it discloses a 3-D range intensity images from a signal processing system 8 fed to an audio-visual alarm system (See col.3, lines 65-68-col. 4, lines 1-9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Ishida et al. with that of Saban et al. by processing the depth map to identify regions that do not exceed mobility constraint for a vehicle, and regions that do exceed the mobility constraint of the vehicle in order to avoid collision.

Art Unit: 3661

As to claims 2-6, 11-12, 14-16, 20-22, 24 Ishida et al. disclose an obstacle detection part (8) as shown in Fig. 1 for processing data and computing an amount for mobility constraint but do not disclose the depth map to determine a height change of the terrain over a distance represented by pixels in the depth map. In an analogous art, Saban et al. disclose an obstacle collision avoidance wherein it discloses it has the capability of determining the height and drivable residual also see Figs. 4A-4E, 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Ishida et al. with that of Saban et al. by determining a height change of the terrain over a distance represented by pixels in the depth map in order to avoid collision.

As to claims 10, 19, Saban et al. disclose an apparatus for detecting obstacles comprising a stereo image processor for processing stereo imagery of a scene containing terrain; a depth map generator for processing the stereo imagery and producing a depth map; and a depth map processor for obviously processing the depth map to identify regions that do not exceed a mobility constraint for a vehicle, and regions that do exceed the mobility constraint of the vehicle (See col. 3, lines 65-68-col. 4, lines 1-9).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-9, 13, 17-18,23, 25-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3661

The prior art fails to disclose a method further comprising: dividing the depth map into blocks of pixels; fitting a plane to each 6f the blocks of pixels; and identifying a point in the center of each plane as points that form the smoothed depth map. Nor does the prior art discloses the limitations of claims 8, 9, 17, 18, 25, 26 such as identifying a current point (X,Y,Z) representing a current location within the depth map: subtracting a last point (X,Y,Z)L, which represents a last location within the depth map, from the current point to derive a displacement (delta X, deltaY, delta Z); computing a distance traveled (dl) between the last point and the current point: providing a maximum slope (sdi) for a drivable incline; determining uphill and downhill limiting values (delta Y uphill = -sdi dl and delta Y downhill = sdidl) for a drivable vertical displacement deltaY by multiplying the maximum slope by the distance traveled; if the vertical displacement delta Y is less than the limiting values, the terrain within the distance traveled is determined to be drivable; if the vertical displacement deltaY is greater than the limiting values, the terrain within the distance traveled is determined to contain a potential obstacle; and if a potential obstacle is detected, computing a non-drivable residual to determined whether the potential obstacle is an obstacle.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Woodfill et al.

(US Pat. 6,456,737)

Ishida et al.

(US Pat 6,411,898)

Art Unit: 3661

Medeiros

(US Pat 5,812,494)

McTamaney et al. (US Pat 5,170,352)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude whose telephone number is (703) 308-7564. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GAJ

June 2, 2004

Page 5